

Some Remarks on an Alternation Theorem of Geiger

HANS-PETER BLATT

*Institut für Angewandte Mathematik I der Universität, Erlangen-Nürnberg
8520 Erlangen, Martensstraße 1, West Germany*

Communicated by G. Meinardus

Let I be the interval $[-1, 1]$ and $C(I)$ the linear space of all continuous, real-valued functions defined on I . In $C(I)$ we consider the Tchebycheff norm $\|\cdot\|$ and an n -dimensional subspace $U = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$. We put

$$V = \left\{ v(a, x) = \frac{u(a, x)}{u(a, -x)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i(-x)} \mid u(a, x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in I \right\}$$

and suppose $V \neq \emptyset$. Here a is the vector (a_1, \dots, a_n) formed by the coefficients of $u(a, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i(x)$. Now we seek for a given $f \in C(I)$ a best approximation with respect to V , i.e., we wish to determine an element $v_0 \in V$ such that

$$\|f - v_0\| \leq \|f - v\|$$

for all $v \in V$.

Geiger [5] considered the case in which U is the subspace π_{n-1} of all real polynomials of degree at most $n - 1$. Assuming $u(a, x)$ and $u(a, -x)$ to be relatively prime and defining

$$d(a) = n - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{n - 1 - m}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

with $m = \text{degree of } u(a, x)$, Geiger proved the following characterization theorem:

$v(a, x)$ is a best approximation to f if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1. 0 is an extremal point of $f(x) - v(a, x)$.
2. There are two extremal points $x_1, x_2 \in I$ of $f(x) - v(a, x)$ such that $x_1 = -x_2$ and $f(x_1) - v(a, x_1) = f(x_2) - v(a, x_2)$.

3. There are $d(a) + 1$ extremal points $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{d(a)}$ such that

$$0 < |x_0| < |x_1| < \dots < |x_{d(a)}|$$

and

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x_i(f(x_i) - v(a, x_i))) = -\operatorname{sgn}(x_{i+1}(f(x_{i+1}) - v(a, x_{i+1})))$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d(a) - 1$.

We want to characterize best approximations of the first mentioned problem by transforming it to a problem of approximating vector-valued functions and using the characterization of best approximations in normed linear spaces. We can then interpret $d(a)$ as the dimension of the linear space spanned by the gradient functions.

Let $I_0 = [0, 1]$. We can formulate our problem in the following way:

For a given $(f_1, f_2) \in C(I_0) \times C(I_0)$, we seek an element $v(a, x) \in V$ such that

$$\Delta(a) \leq \Delta(b)$$

for all $v(b, x) \in V$. Here $f_1(x) = f(x), f_2(x) = f(-x)$ and

$$\Delta(a) = \max \left\{ \|f_1 - v(a, \cdot)\|_0, \left\| f_2 - \frac{1}{v(a, \cdot)} \right\|_0 \right\},$$

with $\|\cdot\|_0 =$ Tchebycheff norm on I_0 .

For characterizing the best approximations we need the gradient of $v(a, x)$ with respect to the parameter a . From

$$\frac{\partial v(a, x)}{\partial a_i} = \frac{1}{u(a, -x)} [u_i(x) - u_i(-x) v(a, x)]$$

we get

$$\operatorname{grad} v(a, x) = \frac{1}{u(a, -x)} (u_1(x) - u_1(-x) v(a, x), \dots, u_n(x) - u_n(-x) v(a, x)).$$

Let \langle, \rangle denote the scalar product of \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$M_1(a) := \{x \in I_0 \mid |f_1(x) - v(a, x)| = \Delta(a)\},$$

and

$$M_2(a) := \left\{ x \in I_0 \mid \left| f_2(x) - \frac{1}{v(a, x)} \right| = \Delta(a) \right\}$$

then the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 1. Δ achieves its minimum at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if

$$\min \left\{ \min_{x \in M_1(a)} (f_1(x) - v(a, x)) \langle b, \text{grad } v(a, x) \rangle, \right. \\ \left. \min_{x \in M_2(a)} \left(\frac{1}{v(a, x)} - f_2(x) \right) \langle b, \text{grad } v(a, x) \rangle \right\} \leq 0$$

for every $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Observing the form of the extremal points of the unit sphere in $(C(I_0) \times C(I_0))^*$ (Bredendiek [1]) and $\text{grad}[1/v(a, x)] = -[1/v(a, x)^2] \text{grad } v(a, x)$ this condition is just the local Kolmogoroff condition, which is always necessary (Brosowski, Wegmann [3]). The set V is asymptotically convex with the parameter function $a(t) = a + t(b - a)$. Hence the set of functions $(v(a, \cdot), 1/v(a, \cdot))$ lying in $V \times V$ is asymptotically convex for every component. From the chosen norm in the product space $C(I_0) \times C(I_0)$ and $a(0) = a$ we get, as did Brosowski [2] that the condition is also sufficient.

Using the separation theorem for convex sets we obtain

THEOREM 2. Δ achieves its minimum at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the origin of \mathbb{R}^n lies in the convex hull of $S_1 \cup S_2$ with

$$S_1 := \{(f_1(x) - v(a, x)) \text{grad } v(a, x) \mid x \in M_1(a)\}, \\ S_2 := \left\{ - \left(f_2(x) - \frac{1}{v(a, x)} \right) \text{grad } v(a, x) \mid x \in M_2(a) \right\}.$$

Let $L(a)$ denote the space spanned by the functions

$$u_i(x) - u_i(-x) v(a, x) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \text{ and put}$$

$$\nu(L(a)) = 1 + \text{maximum number of variations in} \\ \text{sign possessed by elements of } L(a) \text{ in } (0, 1],$$

$$\eta(L(a)) = \text{dimension of a maximal Haar subspace} \\ \text{in } L(a) \text{ over } (0, 1].$$

We say that $f - v(a, \cdot)$ alternates k times if k points $x_i \in I$ exist satisfying

1. $0 < |x_1| < |x_2| < \dots < |x_k|$.
2. $|f(x_i) - v(a, x_i)| = \|f - v(a, \cdot)\|$.
3. $\text{sgn}(x_i(f(x_i) - v(a, x_i))) = -\text{sgn}(x_{i+1}(f(x_{i+1}) - v(a, x_{i+1})))$
for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$.

Then we get the following alternation theorem.

THEOREM 3. *If $v(a, x)$ is a best approximation to f with respect to V then one of the following conditions holds:*

- α . 0 is an extremal point of $f(x) - v(a, x)$.
- β . There are two extremal points $x_1, x_2 \in I$ of $f(x) - v(a, x)$ such that $x_1 = -x_2$ and $f(x_1) - v(a, x_1) = f(x_2) - v(a, x_2)$.
- γ . $f - v(a, \cdot)$ alternates $1 + \eta(L(a))$ times.

If one of the conditions (α) , (β) holds or if $f - v(a, \cdot)$ alternates $1 + v(L(a))$ times then $v(a, x)$ is a best approximation to f .

We omit the proof of this theorem because we can derive it as in the classical theory using the theorems of Carathéodory and Goldstein (compare Cheney [4]). The exceptional cases are immediately seen from Theorem 2. Moreover, it is clear that the best approximation $v(a, x)$ to f is unique if $L(a)$ is a Haar subspace over $(0, 1)$ and $v(a, x)$ is characterized by condition (γ) .

Now the aforementioned theorem of Geiger [5] follows from Theorem 3 considering $U = \pi_{n-1}$ and the

LEMMA. *If $U = \pi_{n-1}$ then $L(a)$ is a Haar subspace of dimension $d(a)$ over any interval not containing the point 0 .*

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i (x^i u(a, -x) - (-x)^i u(a, x))$$

is an odd polynomial of degree $\leq (n - 1 + m)$, the function

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i (x^i - (-x)^i v(a, x))$$

has at most $d(a) - 1$ zeros in intervals not containing 0 . Consider the linear mapping

$$\phi: \pi_{n-1} \rightarrow L(a)$$

defined by $x^i \rightarrow x^i - (-x)^i v(a, x)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Hence we conclude

$$\dim L(a) = \dim \pi_{n-1} - \dim(\ker \phi).$$

From the equivalent relations

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i x^i \in \ker \phi \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i x^i = v(a, x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i (-x)^i$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p(x) = u(a, x) \cdot r(x) \quad \text{with even } r(x)$$

we get:

$$\dim(\ker \phi) = \left[\frac{n-1-m}{2} \right] + 1.$$

Thus we obtain $\dim L(a) = d(a)$.

REFERENCES

1. E. BREDENDIEK, Charakterisierung und Eindeutigkeit bei Simultanapproximation, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **50** (1970), 403–410.
2. B. BROSOWSKI, Nicht-lineare Tschebyscheff-Approximation, *Bibliograph. Institut Mannheim* (1968).
3. B. BROSOWSKI AND R. WEGMANN, Charakterisierung bester Approximationen in normierten Vektorräumen, *J. Approximation Theory* **3** (1970), 369–397.
4. E. W. CHENEY, Approximation by Generalized Rational Functions, from “Approximation of Functions,” H. L. Garabedian, Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam (1965), 101–110.
5. C. GEIGER, Über eine Klasse rationaler Tschebyscheff-Approximationen mit Nebenbedingungen, *J. Approximation Theory* **1** (1968), 340–354.